United States District Court	Northern District of California

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES	DISTRICT CO	DURT
NORTHERN DISTR	RICT OF CALIF	FORNIA
STEVEN WAYNE BONILLA,	Case Nos.	24-cv-9255-PJH
Plaintiff,		24-cv-9265-PJH
·		25-cv-0004-PJH
V.		25-cv-0137-PJH
AV/EDILL (25-cv-0321-PJH
AVERILL et. al.,		25-cv-0350-PJH
Defendants.		25-cv-0351-PJH
		25-cv-0352-PJH
		25-cv-0353-PJH
		25-cv-0355-PJH
		25-cv-0356-PJH
		25-cv-0357-PJH
		25-cv-0450-PJH
		25-cv-0451-PJH
		25-cv-0452-PJH
		25-cv-0453-PJH
		25-cv-0454-PJH
		25-cv-0455-PJH
		25-cv-0456-PJH
		25-cv-0457-PJH
		25-cv-0458-PJH
		25-cv-0496-PJH
		25-cv-0497-PJH
		25-cv-0498-PJH
		25-cv-0499-PJH
		25-cv-0553-PJH
		25-cv-0564-PJH
		25-cv-0565-PJH

24-cv-9265-PJH 25-cv-0004-PJH 25-cv-0137-PJH 25-cv-0321-PJH 25-cv-0350-PJH 25-cv-0351-PJH 25-cv-0352-PJH 25-cv-0353-PJH 25-cv-0355-PJH 25-cv-0356-PJH 25-cv-0357-PJH 25-cv-0450-PJH 25-cv-0451-PJH 25-cv-0452-PJH 25-cv-0453-PJH 25-cv-0454-PJH 25-cv-0455-PJH 25-cv-0456-PJH 25-cv-0457-PJH 25-cv-0458-PJH 25-cv-0496-PJH 25-cv-0497-PJH 25-cv-0498-PJH 25-cv-0499-PJH 25-cv-0553-PJH 25-cv-0564-PJH 25-cv-0565-PJH 25-cv-0566-PJH 25-cv-0567-PJH

	25-cv-0784-PJH
	25-cv-0785-PJH
	25-cv-0786-PJH
2	25-cv-0787-PJH
4	25-cv-0788-PJH
	25-cv-0789-PJH
	25-cv-0790-PJH
	25-cv-0791-PJH
	25-cv-0792-PJH
2	25-cv-0793-PJH
	25-cv-0794-PJH
	25-cv-0901-PJH
	25-cv-0902-PJH
-	25-cv-0904-PJH
	25-cv-0905-PJH

ORDER DISMISSING MULTIPLE CASES WITH PREJUDICE

Plaintiff, a state prisoner, filed multiple pro se civil rights complaints under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Plaintiff is a condemned prisoner who also has a pending federal habeas petition in this court with appointed counsel. *See Bonilla v. Ayers*, Case No. 08-0471 YGR. Plaintiff is also represented by counsel in state court habeas proceedings. *See In re Bonilla*, Case No. 20-2986 PJH, Docket No. 1 at 7.

Plaintiff presents nearly identical claims in these actions. He names as defendants various federal and state judges and other officials. He seeks relief regarding his underlying conviction or how his other cases were handled by the state and federal courts.

To the extent that plaintiff seeks to proceed *in forma pauperis* (IFP) in these cases, he has been disqualified from proceeding IFP under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g) unless he is "under imminent danger of serious physical injury" at the time he filed his complaint. 28 U.S.C. 1915(g); *In re Steven Bonilla*, Case No. 11-3180 CW; *Bonilla v. Dawson*, Case

No. 13-0951 CW.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

The allegations in these complaints do not show that plaintiff was in imminent danger at the time of filing. Therefore, he may not proceed IFP. Moreover, even if an IFP application were granted, his lawsuits would be barred under *Heck v. Humphrey*, 512 U.S. 477, 486-87 (1994), Younger v. Harris, 401 U.S. 37, 43-54 (1971), Demos v. U.S. District Court, 925 F.2d 1160, 1161-62 (9th Cir. 1991) or Mullis v. U.S. Bankruptcy Court, 828 F.2d 1385, 1393 (9th Cir. 1987). Accordingly, the cases are dismissed with prejudice. The court notes that plaintiff has an extensive history of filing similar frivolous cases.1

Furthermore, these are not cases in which the undersigned judge's impartiality might be reasonably questioned due to the repetitive and frivolous nature of the filings. See United States v. Holland, 519 F.3d 909, 912 (9th Cir. 2008) (absent legitimate reasons to recuse himself or herself, a judge has a duty to sit in judgment in all cases assigned to that judge). 2

The clerk shall terminate all pending motions and close these cases. The clerk shall return, without filing, any further documents plaintiff submits in these closed cases.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: January 30, 2025

19

/s/ Phyllis J. Hamilton PHYLLIS J. HAMILTON **United States District Judge**

¹ The undersigned is the fourth judge assigned cases filed by plaintiff. This is the 72nd order issued by the undersigned since April 30, 2020, pertaining to 1,048 different cases. Plaintiff filed 962 other cases with the three other judges since 2011.

² Plaintiff names the undersigned as a defendant in four of these cases, though presents no specific allegations. See Case Nos. 25-0454; 25-0497; 25-0553; 25-0789. Plaintiff does not seek recusal, nor is recusal warranted considering the frivolous nature of the cases.